SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 682 of 2000

For	Approval	and	Signature:
LOT	Approvar	anu	DIGHTALLE.

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL Sd/-

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order madJJJJJ

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO Nos. 1 to 5 No $\,$

PIRBHAI KAMJIBHAI MALEK

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT THROUGH ADDITIONAL SECRETARY

Appearance:

MR TUSHAR MEHTA for Petitioner

MR BY MANKAD, AGP. for Respondents No.1 to 4.

MR.KETAN D.SHAH for Respondent No.5.

MR PM BHATT for Respondent No. 6

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 16/02/2000

Rule. Mr.B.Y.Mankad, Mr.Ketan D.Shah and Mr.P.M.Bhatt waive service of rule for the respective parties.

The Collector has rightly observed that the decision of the Civil Court will have to be implemented by making entries in the revenue record. It is well settled that the mutation entries are for fiscal purposes only and they do not create or confer any title to the property.

The learned Counsel for the respondents no.6 and 7 states that he has no objection if in the circumstances of the case the order of the Secretary is set aside and the order of the Collector is allowed to stand. Accordingly the impugned order of the Secretary is set aside. The revenue authority shall not take any other proceedings till the decision of the Civil Court. Rule made absolute accordingly with no order as to costs.

m.m.bhatt